arXiv:1112.5897v1 [math.PR] 26 Dec 2011

AN IMPROVED UPPER BOUND FOR THE RIGHT-SIDE TAIL OF THE CROSSOVER DISTRIBUTION AT THE EDGE OF THE RAREFACTION FAN

WILLIAM STANTON

This note is a refinement of a calculation done in Sections 5.3 and 5.4 of [2] by Corwin and Quastel. By improving some of the estimates, we were able to obtain the following result:

Corollary 1. *Let $T_0 > 0$. Then there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 < \infty$ depending only on T_0 such that for all $T \geq T_0$,*

$$1 - F^{edge}_{T,0}(s) \leq c_1(e^{-c_2 T^{1/3} s + e^{-c_3 s^{3/2}}}). \tag{1}$$

We will need the following useful inequality related to Stirling's approximation. For $x > 0$,

$$1 < (2\pi)^{-1/2} x^{(1/2)-x} e^x \, \Gamma(x) < e^{1/(12x)}. \tag{2}$$

We will also use the fact from Lemma 49 of [2] that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\mathrm{Re}(z) > 0$,

$$|1/\Gamma(z)| \leq C e^{2|z|}. \tag{3}$$

The essential improvement of this note over [2] is the following lemma, which gives improved bounds for the Airy Upper and Airy Lower Gamma functions.

Lemma 2. *Fix a constant $T_0 > 0$, and let $\kappa_T = 2^{-1/3} T^{1/3}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on T_0 such that the following inequalities hold:*

(1)

$$|\mathrm{Ai}^{\Gamma}(x, \kappa_T^{-1}, 0)| \leq C T^{1/3} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4}$$

(2)

$$|\mathrm{Ai}_{\Gamma}(x, \kappa_T^{-1}, 0)| \leq C T^{-1/3} e^{-\frac{2}{3} x^{3/2}} \text{ for all } x \geq 0 \tag{5}$$

(3)

$$|\mathrm{Ai}_{\Gamma}(x, \kappa_T^{-1}, 0)| \leq C T^{-1/3} e^{2\kappa_T^{-1} |x|^{1/2}} \text{ for all } x < 0 \tag{6}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 82C22, 60H15.
Key words and phrases. Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation, stochastic heat equation, stochastic Burgers equation, random growth, asymmetric exclusion process, anomalous fluctuations, directed polymers.

Proof of Lemma 2:

In this proof, $C > 0$ is a constant that can change from line to line, but only depends on T_0.

$\mathbf{Ai^{\Gamma}}$ Bounds, $\mathbf{x \geq 0}$. We begin by proving (4). We begin by proving the $x \geq 0$ case.

We deform the contour $\tilde{\Gamma}_\zeta$ to the vertical line $s_0 + it$, where $s_0 = -\kappa_{T_0}/2$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. On this new contour,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it))| &= \left| \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it) + 1)}{\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it)} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{\Gamma(\mathrm{Re}(\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it) + 1))}{\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it)} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1} s_0 + 1)}{\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it)} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

In the first equation, we use the functional equation $\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1)/z$, and in the second line, we use the simple fact that $|\Gamma(z)| \leq \Gamma(\mathrm{Re}(z))$. Now, $3/2 \geq \kappa_T^{-1} s_0 + 1 \geq 1/2$, by choice of s_0. Therefore, we conclude that the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it))| &\leq \frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{|\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it)|} && (7) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(3/2) 2^{-1/3} T^{1/3}}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + t^2}} && (8) \\
&\leq C T^{1/3}. && (9)
\end{aligned}$$

By deforming the contour, we pick up only the residue at $z = 0$, since the poles of $\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1} z)$ occur at $\kappa_T^{-1} z = -n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and

$$s_0 = -\frac{\kappa_{T_0}}{2} \geq -\frac{\kappa_T}{2} > \kappa_T.$$

Now, it is easy to verify that

$$\mathrm{Res}(e^{-1/3 z^3 + xz} \Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1} z), z = 0) = \frac{1}{\kappa_T^{-1}} = 2^{-1/3} T^{1/3}.$$

Therefore, the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned}|Ai^{\Gamma}(x,\kappa_T^{-1},0)| &\le 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}+\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{1}{3}(s_0+it)^3+x(s_0+it)}\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0+it))dt\right|\\ &\le 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}+CT^{1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|e^{-\frac{1}{3}(s_0^3+3s_0^2it-3s_0t^2-it^3)+x(s_0+it)}\right|dt\\ &= 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}+CT^{1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{-1}{3}s_0^3+s_0t^2+xs_0}dt\\ &\le 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}+CT^{1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-|s_0|t^2}dt\\ &= CT^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

In the second-to-last line, we use the fact that $x > 0$ and $s_0 < 0$.

$\mathbf{Ai^{\Gamma}}$ Bound, $\mathbf{x < 0}$. Now, we move on to the $x < 0$ case. Let $\tilde{x} = -x$. We break this proof into three cases.

Case 1: $0 < \tilde{x}^{1/2} \le \kappa_{T_0}$. We deform the contour $\tilde{\Gamma_\zeta}$ to the vertical line $s_0 + it$, where $s_0 = -\frac{\kappa_{T_0}}{2}$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Just as in the $x \ge 0$ case, $|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(s_0 + it))| \le CT^{1/3}$. Furthermore, just as in the $x \ge 0$ case, the only residue picked up when deforming the contour is at $z = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}|Ai^{\Gamma}(x,\kappa_T^{-1},0)| &\le 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}+CT^{1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{\mathrm{Re}(-\frac{1}{3}(s_0+it)^3+x(s_0+it))}dt\\ &= 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}+CT^{1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{1}{3}s_0^3+s_0t^2+xs_0}dt\\ &\le 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}CT^{1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{\frac{1}{24}\kappa_{T_0}^3-|\frac{\kappa_{T_0}}{2}|t^2+\frac{\kappa_{T_0}^3}{2}}\\ &\le CT^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

In the second-to-last line, we used the assumption that $\tilde{x} < \kappa_{T_0}^2$.

Case 2: $\kappa_{T_0} < \tilde{x}^{1/2} < \kappa_T + 1$. In the contour integral formula for Ai^{Γ}, make the change of variables $z = s\tilde{x}^{1/2}$, to find that

$$Ai^{\Gamma}(x,\kappa_T^{-1},0) = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma_\zeta}'}e^{-\tilde{x}^{(3/2)(\frac{1}{3}s^3+s)}}\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}s)\tilde{x}^{1/2}ds,$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma_\zeta}'$ is the contour obtained by dividing each point on the contour $\tilde{\Gamma_\zeta}$ by $\tilde{x}^{1/2}$.

Deform the contour $\tilde{\Gamma_\zeta}'$ to the following contour: a straight line passing from $-\infty e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}$ to $-i$, a semicircular arc passing from $-i$ to $-i$, and a straight line passing from i to $\infty e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}$. Notice that the contour does not pass over any singularities when being deformed. We deal with the arc first.

Parameterize the arc by $s = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. First, we bound $\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}s)$ on this arc. By (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{i\theta}+1)| &\leq \exp((\tilde{x}^{1/2}\kappa_T^{-1}\cos(\theta)+1)\log(\tilde{x}^{1/2}\kappa_T^{-1}\cos(\theta)+1)) \\
&\leq \exp(((\kappa_T+1)\kappa_T^{-1}\cos(\theta)+1)\log((\kappa_T+1)\kappa_T^{-1}\cos(\theta)+1) \\
&\leq \exp(((1+\kappa_T^{-1})\cos(\theta)+1)\log((1+\kappa_T^{-1})\cos(\theta)+1) \\
&\leq \exp(((1+\kappa_{T_0}^{-1})+1)\log((1+\kappa_{T_0}^{-1})+1) \\
&= C.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used the fact that $\kappa_T \geq \kappa_{T_0}$ and $1 \geq \cos(\theta) \geq 0$ for all $\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$.

By the functional equation for the gamma function,

$$|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{i\theta})| = \frac{|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{i\theta}+1)|}{|\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{i\theta}|} \leq \frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}},$$

where C' only depends on κ_{T_0}.

Now, we bound the exponential part of the integrand:

$$\left|\exp\left(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}\left(\frac{1}{3}(e^{i\theta})^3+(e^{i\theta})\right)\right)\right| = \exp\left(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\cos(3\theta)+\cos(\theta)\right)\right) \leq 1,$$

since $\frac{1}{3}\cos(3\theta)+\cos(\theta) \leq 0$ for all $\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, as is easily verified by basic calculus.

The integrand is therefore bounded by $\frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}}\tilde{x}^{1/2} = CT^{1/3}$, and since the arc has length π, the integral along the arc is bounded by $CT^{1/3}$.

Now, we move on to the rays. By symmetry, it is enough to prove the $CT^{1/3}$ bound on the upper ray, parameterized by $s = i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}$, $r \in [0, \infty)$. We split the argument into two parts.

The first part is when $r \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}} - 1\right)$. Let $z = \kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}s$. Then by choice of r, the following is true:

$$0 \geq Re(z) = \kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(r\cos(\frac{3\pi}{4})) \geq -1 + \kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}.$$

Thus, $1 \geq Re(z+1) \geq \kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(z)| &= \frac{|\Gamma(z+1)|}{|z|} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}|\Gamma(\mathrm{Re}(z+1))|}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}|i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}|} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2})}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}} \exp(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\log(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}) \\
&\leq \frac{2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}} \exp(\kappa_T^{-1}(\kappa_T+1)\log(\kappa_T^{-1}(\kappa_T+1))) \\
&\leq \frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second part is when $r \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{1}{\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}} - 1)$. Choose a natural number k such that $1/2 \leq \mathrm{Re}(z+k) \leq 3/2$. Note that $k \geq 2$, since by choice of r, $\mathrm{Re}(z) \leq -1$. Also, note that for all $j \geq 1$, $|z+j| \geq |\mathrm{Im}(z)| \geq 1$, by choice of r. Therefore, by repeatedly applying the functional equation of the gamma function, we see that the following is true:

$$|\Gamma(z)| = \frac{|\Gamma(z+k)|}{|z||z+1|\cdots|z+k-1|} \leq \frac{|\Gamma(\mathrm{Re}(z+k))|}{|z|} \leq \frac{2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}\Gamma(3/2)}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}|i+re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}|} \leq \frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}}.$$

Now, we address the exponential part of the integrand:

$$|\exp(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}(1/3s^3+s))| = \exp(\mathrm{Re}(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}(1/3s^3+s))) = \exp\left(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}r^2 - \tilde{x}^{3/2}r^3\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}\right).$$

Therefore, the integral on the ray is bounded above by the following:

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}r^2)\frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}}\tilde{x}^{1/2}dr \leq \frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{3/4}}.$$

Case 3: $\kappa_T + 1 < \tilde{x}^{1/2}$. In this argument, we use the same contour as in Case 2. We prove the $CT^{1/3}$ bound on the semicircular arc first. We start with bounding the gamma function. By the functional equation for the gamma function, and (2),

$$|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{\exp((\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\cos(\theta)+1)\log(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\cos(\theta)+1))}{\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}}.$$

As for the exponential part, it is easy to verify using calculus that as a function of θ,

$$\mathrm{Re}(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}(\frac{1}{3}s^3+s) = -\tilde{x}^{3/2}(1/3\cos(3\theta)+\cos(\theta))$$

decreases on $[-\pi/2, 0]$ and increases on $[0, \pi/2]$. The same is clearly true for $(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\cos(\theta) + 1)\log(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\cos(\theta) + 1)$. Therefore, the following is true for s on the arc:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|e^{-x^{3/2}(\frac{1}{3}s^3+s)}\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{3/2}s)\tilde{x}^{1/2}\right| &\leq e^{-\tilde{x}^{3/2}(\frac{1}{3}\cos(\frac{3\pi}{2})+\cos(\frac{3\pi}{2}))}\frac{e^{(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\cos(\frac{\pi}{2})+1)\log(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}\cos(\frac{\pi}{2})+1)}}{\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}}\tilde{x}^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa_T^{-1}} \\ &= 2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the arc has length π, we conclude that the integral along the arc is bounded above by $CT^{1/3}$.

Now, we check the $CT^{1/3}$ bound on the rays. By symmetry, it is necessary only to check the bound on the upper ray, $s = i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}$. Choose a natural number k such that $1 \leq Re(z+k) \leq 2$. Note that $|z+j| \geq Im(z+j) \geq \kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2} > 1$ for all $j \geq 0$. By again repeatedly applying the functional equation for the gamma function, the following holds:

$$|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}))| \leq \frac{|\Gamma(z+k)|}{|z||z+1|\cdots|z+k-1|} \leq \frac{\Gamma(2)}{|\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}})|} \leq \frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}}.$$

The exponential part is easily bounded, as follows:

$$\exp(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}(1/3(i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}})^3 + (i + re^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}))) \leq \exp(-r^2\widetilde{x^{3/2}} - r^3\tilde{x}^{3/2}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}).$$

Therefore, the integral along the ray is bounded by the following:

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-r^2\tilde{x}^{3/2})\frac{CT^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{1/2}}\tilde{x}^{1/2}dr \leq \frac{C'T^{1/3}}{\tilde{x}^{3/4}}.$$

$\mathbf{Ai_\Gamma}$ Bound, $\mathbf{x \geq 0}$.

Case 1: $0 \leq x^{1/2} \leq \kappa_{T_0}$. In this case, we deform the contour $\tilde{\Gamma}_\eta$ to the contour $z = 1 + ri$, $r \in (-\infty, \infty)$. The function $1/\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}z)$ has no singularities, so we do not pick up any residues. By (3) and the functional equation for the gamma function, it now holds that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(1+ri))}\right| = \frac{|\kappa_T^{-1}(1+ri)|}{|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}(1+ri)+1)|} \leq CT^{-1/3}(1+r)e^{2\kappa_T^{-1}(2+r^{1/2})}.$$

Therefore, the integral is bounded as follows, with suitable C, C':

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp(1/3(1+ri)^3 - x(1+ri))CT^{-1/3}(1+r)dr &\leq CT^{-1/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp(1/3 - r^2 - x)(1+r)e^{2\kappa_T^{-1}(2+r^{1/2})}dr \\ &\leq C'T^{-1/3}e^{-2/3x^{3/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\kappa_{T_0} < x^{1/2}$. In this case, we make the usual change of variables $z = sx^{1/2}$. We are free to deform the contour $\tilde{\Gamma}'_\eta$ to a steepest descent contour that passes along a straight line from $\infty e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}$ to 1, and then on a straight line from 1 to $\infty e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$. By Stirling's approximation, it is easy to see that $1/\Gamma(z)$ is bounded above by an absolute constant on both rays. Therefore, again by the functional equation for the gamma function,

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1} x^{1/2} s)}\right| \leq CT^{-1/3}(1+r)x^{1/2}.$$

The integral along the upper ray is bounded by the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty e^{x^{3/2}(\frac{1}{3}(1+re^{i\frac{\pi}{3}})^3+(1+re^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}))} CT^{-1/3}(1+r)x\,dr &= CT^{-1/3}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2x^{3/2}-x^{3/2}r^3+\log(x(1+r))}dr \\ &\leq CT^{-1/3}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2x^{3/2}}dr \\ &\leq \frac{CT^{-1/3}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}}}{x^{3/4}}\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{Ai}_\Gamma$ Bound, $\mathbf{x < 0}$. Again, let $\tilde{x} = -x$.

Case 1: $0 \leq \tilde{x} \leq \kappa_{T_0}$. . We deform the contour $\tilde{\Gamma_\eta}$ to the vertical line $1 + ri$, $r \in (-\infty, \infty)$. We have already shown that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1} z)}\right| \leq CT^{-1/3}(1+|r|)e^{4\kappa_T^{-1}(1+|r|^{1/2})}$$

on this contour. Therefore, the integral is bounded above by the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{Re(1/3(1+ri)^3-x(1+ri))} CT^{-1/3}(1+r)e^{4\kappa_T^{-1}(1+|r|^{1/2}}dr &= CT^{-1/3}e^{1/3-x} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-r^2}(1+|r|)e^{4\kappa_T^{-1}(1+|r|^{1/2})}dr \\ &\leq CT^{-1/3}e^{1/3-\kappa_{T_0}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-r^2}(1+|r|)e^{4\kappa_T^{-1}(1+|r|^{1/2})}dr,\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by $CT^{-1/3}$ because $\tilde{x} < \kappa_{T_0}$.

Case 2: $\kappa_{T_0} < \tilde{x}^{1/2}$. . In this case, we first make the change of variables $z = s\tilde{x}^{1/2}$. Then, we deform the contour to the contour (in the s-plane) made up of a straight line passing from $\infty e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}$ to $-i$, then a straight line from $-i$ to i, and finally a straight line from i to $\infty e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}$.

We deal with the vertical line segment from $-i$ to i first. We parameterize the vertical line segment by $s = -i + ti$, $t \in (0, 2)$, so by 3,

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(-i+it))}\right| = \frac{\left|\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(-i+it)\right|}{\left|\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(-i+it)+1)\right|}$$
$$\leq CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{2|\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(-i+it)+1|}$$
$$\leq CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{6\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}}.$$

On the vertical line segment, the exponential part is bounded as follows:

$$|\exp(\tilde{x}^{3/2}((1/3(-i+ti)^3+(-i+ti)))| = 1.$$

Since the length of the line segment is 2, we conclude that the integral on the line segment is bounded by $CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}^{1/2}e^{c\tilde{x}}\tilde{x}^{1/2} = CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}e^{c\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}}$.

Now, we consider the integral along the ray. By symmetry, we only consider the upper ray. We parameterize the upper ray by $s = i + re^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}$, on which it holds that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa_T^{-1}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(i+re^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}))}\right| \leq CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(1+r).$$

The exponential part of the integrand is bounded by

$$|\exp(\tilde{x}^{3/2}(1/3(i+re^{i\frac{\pi}{4}})^3+(i+re^{i\frac{\pi}{4}})))| \leq \exp(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}r^2)dr,$$

and hence, the integral along the ray satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(\tilde{x}^{3/2}(\frac{1}{3}s^3+s))CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}^{1/2}(1+r)\tilde{x}^{1/2}dr \leq CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}\int_0^\infty \exp(-\tilde{x}^{3/2}r^2)dr$$
$$= CT^{-1/3}\tilde{x}^{-1/4}.$$

1. Upper Tail of Crossover Distribution

We give upper bounds for the upper tail of the following [2]:

$$1 - F_{T,0}^{\text{edge}}(s) = -\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} e^{-\tilde{\mu}}\frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\mu}}[\det(I-\tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{\mu}}) - \det I],$$

where $\tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{\mu}}$ is evaualted on $L^2(s,\infty)$ and

$$\tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{\mu}}(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\tilde{\mu}dt}{e^{-2^{-1/3}T^{1/3}t}-\tilde{\mu}}\mathrm{Ai}^{\Gamma}(x+t,\kappa_T^{-1},0)\mathrm{Ai}_{\Gamma}(x+t,\kappa_T^{-1},0).$$

In order to prove our upper bounds, we follow [2] directly, but use the new bounds for the Ai^{Γ} and Ai_{Γ} we proved in the last section. We factor the operator $\tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{m}u}$ into a product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators, bound them, and then use the continuity of the Fredholm determinant. We will be consistent with the notation and structure of [2] in order to make the argument easy to follow.

By [3],

$$\det(I-\tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{\mu}}) = \det(I-A),$$

where $A = U^{-1}\tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{\mu}}U$, and

$$Uf(x) = (x^4+1)^{1/2}f(x).$$

We factor $A = A_1A_2$, where $A_1 : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(s,\infty)$, $A_2 : L^2(s,\infty) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and A_1 and A_2 have the following kernels:

$$A_1(x,t) = Ai^{\Gamma}(x+t,\kappa_T^{-1},0)(x^4+1)^{-1/2}(t^4+1)^{-1/2} \tag{10}$$

$$A_2(t,y) = \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{e^{-\kappa_T t}-\tilde{\mu}}Ai_{\Gamma}(y+t,\kappa_T^{-1},0)(x^4+1)^{1/2}(t^4+1)^{1/2}. \tag{11}$$

We will use the fact that since A_1 and A_2 are Hilbert Schmidt and $A_1A_2 = A$,

$$|\det(I+A)-\det I| \le \|A\|_1 e^{\|A\|_1+1} \le \|A_1\|_2\|A_2\|_2 e^{\|A_1\|_2\|A_2\|_2+1}. \tag{12}$$

By (4) and the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_1\|_2^2 &= \int_s^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(Ai^{\Gamma}(x+t,\kappa_T^{-1},0)(x^4+1)^{-1/2}(t^4+1)^{-1/2})^2\,dxdt && (13)\\ &\le CT^{2/3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x^4+1)^{-1/2}(t^4+1)^{-1/2})^2\,dxdt && (14)\\ &= CT^{2/3}. && (15)\end{aligned}$$

In order to bound A_2, we will use the following bound, along with the bound we proved for Ai_{Γ}:

$$\left|\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{e^{-\kappa_T t}-\tilde{\mu}}\right| \le C|\tilde{\mu}|(e^{2\kappa_T t}\wedge 1), \tag{16}$$

which is formula (116) in [2].

By our upper bounds for Ai^{Γ}, the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_2\|_2^2 \leq CT^{-2/3}|\tilde{\mu}|^2 \Bigg(&\int_s^\infty dx \int_{-x}^\infty dt (e^{2\kappa_T t} \wedge 1) e^{-\frac{4}{3}|x+t|^{3/2}} (x^4+1)(t^4+1) \\ &+ \int_s^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^{-x} dt (e^{2\kappa_T t} \wedge 1) |x+t| e^{2\kappa_T^{-1}|x+t|^{1/2}} (x^4+1)(t^4+1) \Bigg) C|\tilde{\mu}|^2 (I_1 + I_2).\end{aligned}$$

First of all, notice that since $s >> 1$, $t < 0$ over the range of the inner integral. Thus, $e^{2\kappa_T t} \wedge 1 = e^{2\kappa_T t}$ in the inner integral. Make the change of variables $u = t/x$ in the inner integral. Then the integral now has the following form:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-1} e^{2\kappa_T x u} |x + xu| e^{2\kappa_T^{-1} x^{1/2} |1+u|} (x^4+1)(t^4+1) x du.$$

Now, choose $s > 64\kappa_{T_0}^{-4}$. Then since $x \geq s$, it is easy to verify that

$$-2\kappa_T x + 8\kappa_T^{-1}|x|^{1/2} < -\kappa_T x$$

This implies the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_s^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^{-1} x du e^{-\kappa_T x u} |x + ux| (x^4+1)((xu)^4+1) \\ &\leq C e^{-\kappa_T s} s^9. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we bound I_1. We write $I_1 = I_3 + I_4$, where the t-integration runs from $-x$ to $-x/2$ in I_3, and the t-integration runs from $-x/2$ to ∞ in I_4. Now,

$$I_3 \leq \int_s^\infty dx \int_{-x}^{-x/2} dt e^{2\kappa_T t} (x^4+1)(t^4+1) \leq C s^8 e^{-\kappa_T s},$$

and

$$I_4 \leq \int_s^\infty dx \int_{-x/2}^\infty dt e^{-4/3|x+t|^{3/2}} (x^4+1)(t^4+1) \leq C s^8 e^{-cs^{3/2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|A_2\|_2^2 \leq C|\tilde{\mu}|^2 s^9 (e^{-\kappa_T s} + e^{-cs^{3/2}}).$$

Now, we combine our estimates for A_1 and A_2, use 12, and conclude the following:

$$|\det(I - \tilde{K}_{T,\tilde{\mu}})| \leq C\|\tilde{\mu}\| s^{9/2} (e^{-\kappa_T s} + e^{-cs^{3/2}}) e^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mu}|},$$

where we have chosen s large enough that $Cs^{9/2}(e^{-\kappa_T s} + e^{-cs^{3/2}}) \leq 1/2$.

Now, we insert this estimate into the formula for the probability distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - F_{T,0}^{edge}(s)| &\leq \int_{\tilde{C}} |e^{-\tilde{\mu}}| \frac{d|\tilde{\mu}|}{|\tilde{\mu}|} \|\tilde{\mu}\| s^{9/2} (e^{-\kappa_T s} + e^{-cs^{3/2}}) e^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mu}} \\ &\leq C s^{9/2} (e^{-cT^{1/3} s} + e^{-cs^{3/2}}). \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality, we have used the fact that $|\int_{\tilde{C}} e^{-\tilde{\mu}} |\tilde{\mu}| \frac{d|\tilde{\mu}|}{|\tilde{\mu}|} e^{\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\mu}|}|$ converges.

Now, $T \geq T_0$, so for all sufficiently large s, there exists $c' > 0$ such that the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} s^{9/2}(e^{-cT^{1/3}s} + e^{-cs^{3/2}}) &\leq e^{-cT_0^{1/3}s + \log s^{9/2}} + e^{-cs^{3/2} + \log s^{9/2}} \\ &\leq e^{-c'T_0^{1/3}s} + e^{-c's^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude the following:

$$|1 - F_{T,0}^{edge}(s)| \leq C(e^{-c'T^{1/3}s} + e^{-c's^{3/2}}).$$

References

[1] G. Amir, I. Corwin, J. Quastel. Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in $1+1$ dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **64**:466-537 (2011).

[2] I. Corwin, J. Quastel. Crossover Distributions at the Edge of the Rarefaction Fan. arXiv: 1006.1338v3 (2010)

[3] B. Simon. *Trace Ideals and their applications.* AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs v. 120. Providence, 2005.

W. Stanton, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO,,

E-mail address: `william.stanton@colorado.edu`